

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICA	TION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/90	09,025	07/19/2001	Neil F. Schutzman	EMC-011PUS	9106
2249	4 75	90 07/12/2005		EXAM	INER
DALY, CROWLEY, MOFFORD & DURKEE, LLP			CHEN, CHONGSHAN		
	SUITE 301A 354A TURNPIKE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CA	NTON, MA	02021-2714		2162	
				DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/909,025	SCHUTZMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chongshan Chen	2162				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 47-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 47-63 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	1					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in fority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0. Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	r Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications filed on 2 May 2005. Claims 47-63 are pending in this office action.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 47 is objected to because the claimed method can be implemented without using a computer. The examiner suggests the applicant change the preamble to "A computer-implemented method ...".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 47-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gelb et al. (hereinafter "Gelb", US Patent 5,018,060).

As per claim 1, Gelb discloses a method of creating a data storage pool, comprising: providing information for a plurality of storage resources in response to a user query (Gelb, col. 7, line 54 – col. 8, line 62, col. 12 – col. 16, all those routines are implemented using SELECT, WHEN statements. These statements are user queries);

assigning at least one storage property to selected ones of the plurality of storage resources in response to user instructions to define the data storage pool (Gelb, col. 7, line 54 – col. 10, line 67);

receiving a logical expression to identify respective ones of the storage resources that are available for a requested store operation based upon the storage properties assigned to the selected ones of the storage resources (Gelb, col. 12, line 5 – col. 15, line 67, "the symbol | indicates logic OR, && indicates a logic AND, ..."); and

allocating selected ones of the identified storage resources for the requested store operation (Gelb, col. 12, line 5 – col. 15, line 67).

As per claim 48, Gelb teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 47, and further teach the logical expression includes at least one logical operator and at least two storage properties (Gelb, col. 12, line 5 – col. 15, line 67).

As per claim 49, Gelb teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 48, and further teach the logical expression includes an amount of storage needed for the required store operation (Gelb, col. 4, lines 47-48, col. 17, lines 57-59).

As per claim 50, Gelb teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 47, and further teach receiving a new property as part of the logical expression (Gelb, col. 7, line 54 – col. 8, line 62).

As per claim 51, Gelb teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 47, and further teach assigning a particular one of the at least one storage properties to particular type of storage resource (Gelb, col. 7, line 54 – col. 8, line 62).

Art Unit: 2162

As per claim 52, Gelb teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 47, and further teach the plurality of storage resources includes logical volumes (Gelb, col. 17, lines 18-22).

As per claim 53, Gelb teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 47, and further teach receiving a user instruction to identify a storage resource as hand-off storage (Gelb, col. 7, line 54 – col. 8, line 62).

As per claim 54, Gelb teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 47, and further teach the user query is generated by a storage administrator (Gelb, col. 4, lines 57-58, col. 12 – col. 16).

As per claim 55, Gelb teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 47, and further teach the logical expression is generated by a database administrator (Gelb, col. 13 – 16, "/* allow data base administrators to select */").

Claims 56-63 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claims 47-49 and 51.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed on 2 May 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. As per applicant's arguments regarding Gelb does not teach providing information for a plurality of storage resources in response to a user query have been considered but are not persuasive. Gelb teaches a routines to select a data class, a routine to select a storage class, a routine to select management class, and a routine to select a storage group (Gelb, col. 12 col.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,025 Page 5

Art Unit: 2162

16). These routines are implemented using SELECT, WHEN statements. These statements are user queries. Gelb teaches providing information for a plurality of storage resources in response to a user query (Gelb, col. 7, line 54 – col. 8, line 62, col. 10, lines 40-67). Therefore, the arguments are not persuasive.

- As per applicant's arguments regarding Gelb does not teach assigning at least one storage property to selected ones of the plurality of storage resources *in response to user instructions* to define the data storage pool have been considered but are not persuasive. Gelb teaches operator interacts with the system to set up/alter the data class, storage class, storage groups (Gelb, col. 6, lines 8-22). These operations assign properties to the storage resources (Gelb, col. 7, line 54 col. 10, line 67), and the storage allocation request is based upon the storage properties assigned to the storage resources. Therefore, the arguments are not persuasive.
- 8. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "abstracts the storage pool details for a user) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/909,025

Art Unit: 2162

Page 6

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chongshan Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 am - 4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chongshan Chen July 6, 2005

JEAN M. CORRIELUS PRIMARY EXAMINER